VALLEY CLEAN ENERGY ALLIANCE

Staff Report – Agenda Item 13

TO: Valley Clean Energy Alliance Board of Directors

FROM: Mitch Sears, Interim General Manager
Olof Bystrom, Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD)

SUBJECT: CPUC Integrated Resource Plan and Required Action Plan (IRP)

DATE: July 12, 2018

RECOMMENDATION

Staff is recommending that the Board adopt a resolution establishing the following:

- Approving the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) in substantially the form attached, which includes the “Cleaner Base” portfolio as the Preferred Portfolio and the Action Plan identified therein, for submission to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).
- Authorizing staff to make any non-substantial changes necessary to finalize the IRP document for filing.

BACKGROUND

In accordance with state Senate Bill (SB) 350 (2015, DeLeón), as well as modifications to those sections added by SB 338 (2016, Skinner) and Assembly Bill (AB) 759 (2017, Dahle) to implement Public Utilities Code Sections 454.51 and 454.52, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) has enacted rulemakings requiring load servicing entities in the state over which the CPUC exercises regulatory authority to file Integrated Resource Plans by August 1, 2018. The IRP must be approved by the VCEA Board prior to submission to the CPUC, including the adoption of a “Preferred Portfolio” to indicate which of the alternative resource scenarios contained in the IRP is preferred by the VCEA Board. The IRP process calls for an update every two years, which means VCEA will have regular opportunities to adjust its plan.

In addition to the development of various possible renewable and clean portfolios, and the required selection of a preferred portfolio, the IRP report must also identify VCEA’s Action Plan for how it intends to achieve the objectives of the Preferred Portfolio.

ANALYSIS AND PREFERRED PORTFOLIO

The IRP report that is attached to this Staff Report provides a detailed analysis of long term resource options for VCEA, including specific resource portfolios of renewable energy such as solar, wind, biomass and geothermal resources. The report analyzes three portfolios:
• A “Base” portfolio that meets statutory requirements with respect to greenhouse gas emissions and the Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS). This portfolio seeks to minimize costs for new resources without any additional emphasis on local energy or cleaner energy (beyond regulatory requirements). This portfolio can be seen as the minimum that VCEA must achieve in terms of renewable energy and greenhouse gas emissions.

• A “Cleaner Base” portfolio (Staff Recommended), that seeks higher amounts of RPS eligible renewable energy as well as procuring all of VCEA’s market-procured energy from non-GHG sources, resulting in a portfolio that uses 80% RPS eligible renewables by 2030. This portfolio is otherwise similar to the Base portfolio. Results suggest that the total cost for this portfolio is very similar to the Base portfolio.

• A “Local” portfolio that emphasizes the use of local solar, biomass and geothermal resources that are sourced from Yolo county and surrounding areas. The portfolio seeks to achieve the same level of clean energy and RPS as the Base portfolio. The cost of this portfolio exceeds the lowest cost portfolio by about 6%

The three resource portfolios suggest that solar PV energy from large-scale solar installations is the lowest cost option for VCEA and that local, smaller installations come at a premium. This is especially true for biomass and geothermal resources that are considerably more costly than other resources. Thus, a resource portfolio that focuses on local resources will be more costly than other options. However, based on our assessment, the difference between a strict adherence to lowest cost principles and a more locally sourced portfolio need not be significant. We also note that there is significant uncertainty regarding the development of costs over the next 12 years that could impact the relative costs of the portfolios assessed as part of this IRP.

A key feature of the IRP is the Action Plan (discussed below) that will include issuing an RFP for long term procurement of renewables. Through this process it is expected that VCEA will gain insight into the detailed cost of both local resources and large scale renewables. VCEA will use this information to subsequently adjust its resource plan.

**ACTION PLAN**

The 3 year Action Plan outlines the actions VCEA plans to take to achieve the goals and objectives set out in the IRP. The Action Plan can, but is not required to, include additional actions contemplated by VCEA to achieve its short and long-term vision. The Action Plan was developed as an outcome of the public IRP workshop held on April 26, 2018 and has subsequently been reviewed and developed in collaboration with the Community Advisory Committee (CAC) at its May 30, 2018 and July 2, 2018 meetings.

The Action Plan, including prioritization of actions, has been reviewed and endorsed by the CAC and is included as Section 4 of the attached IRP report.
COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE REVIEW

Staff reviewed the recommendations with the CAC on July 2, 2018, which voted on and unanimously approved staff’s recommendations with the addition of language in the IRP emphasizing VCEA’s desire to maximize incorporation of local renewables, to the extent feasible and economic.

CONCLUSION

Staff makes the specific aforementioned recommendations for the Board’s consideration.

Attachments
A. Integrated Resource Plan
B. Resolution
Valley Clean Energy Alliance

2018 INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN

Approved: __________, 2018
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1. Executive Summary

Valley Clean Energy Alliance, or Valley Clean Energy (VCE) is a joint powers authority working to implement a state-authorized Community Choice Energy (CCE) program. Participating VCE governments include the City of Davis, the City of Woodland and the unincorporated parts of Yolo County. The vision of VCE is to enable the participating jurisdictions to determine the sources, modes of production, and costs of the electricity they procure for the residential, commercial, agricultural, and industrial users in their areas. PG&E continues to deliver the electricity procured by VCE and to perform billing, metering, and other electric distribution utility functions and services. Customers within the participating jurisdictions have the choice not to participate in the VCE program. VCE’s vision as an organization and as adopted by its Board in 2017 is shown in Error! Reference source not found.

This report was prepared in accordance with decision D.18-02-018 by the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) under proceeding R.16-02-007. The report follows the format laid out in Annex A to the Decision. The objective of this report is to provide materials to help the Commission perform its modeling of load and resources in the 2018-2030 period. VCE views this IRP report as an important but preliminary plan for its resource supply over the 2018-2030 period. Considering that VCE only started to serve load in June of 2018, VCE does not yet have any resources under long term contract – instead VCE relies on market purchases of energy, Resource Adequacy (RA), and Renewable Energy Credits (RECs) in order to serve its electric demand and meet regulatory requirements with respect to resource adequacy and renewable energy. Therefore, a key part of this report is the Action Plan contained in Section 4 of this report. The Action Plan lays out VCE’s near term plans for developing short and long term studies and supply contracts to meet its load while implementing its vision and complying with regulatory requirements.

For the purposes of this report, VCE developed three resource portfolios, all of which conform to Commission and statutory requirements, and are consistent with the input assumptions and Reference System Plan identified by the Commission. The first portfolio, entitled Base, provides a continuation of VCE’s current service offering, namely 42% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) eligible renewable energy and an overall portfolio that is supported by carbon-free sources for 75% of its annual energy content delivered to customers. Over the course of the 2018-2030 period, the renewable energy content of the portfolio is adjusted to meet statutory and regulatory RPS requirements as well as the Greenhouse gas benchmark values stipulated by the Commission.
The second portfolio, entitled Local, considers a resource path that covers more local and distributed resources. While somewhat more costly than the Base Portfolio, the Local portfolio is competitive with the Commission Reference System Plan RESOLVE model results, which were taken as a proxy for the overall generation costs in California for the 2018-2030 period.

The Third Portfolio, entitled Cleaner Base, considers a lowest cost resource portfolio that has more ambitious renewable energy targets and seeks to achieve 50% RPS-eligible renewable energy content by 2020 and 80% by 2030. In addition, this portfolio also seeks to supply all of its retail energy using carbon-free resources by 2022 through a combination of RPS-eligible resources and non-RPS clean energy sources such as hydro. The Cleaner Base is VCE’s Preferred Portfolio. However, to the greatest extent feasible and cost-effective, VCE intends to prioritize the development of local resources for its long term resource portfolio. Ultimately, the choice of resource path is uncertain and will to a large extent depend on future market and policy developments as well as on the evolving preferences of VCE customers. VCE’s resource plan may therefore be adjusted according to market developments over the next several years.

Figure 2 shows a comparison of the estimated generation costs for each of the Portfolios considered in this report in relation to the RESOLVE Reference System Plan results for the same period.

---

1 The Reference Portfolio results are based on the RESOLVE model’s results for the “Generation” portion of the “Total Retail Rate by Component” as shown in the Results Viewer spreadsheet of the RESOLVE model for the Reference System Plan.
ELCC value for incremental solar capacity. If the discrepancies between these assumptions were adjusted for, the difference between the results in 2030 may not be material.

There are several important limitations and assumptions of VCE’s IRP analysis provided in this report that should be considered:

- The resource portfolios include only the type of renewable energy resources that VCE expects to contract with over the 2018-2030 period. VCE does not envision building, owning or entering into long term contracts for fossil-fueled generating sources and instead expects to meet such needs by purchasing electricity in the CAISO and bilateral electricity markets.
- The modeling and analysis is based on using assumptions and prices available in the Reference System Plan results for the RESOLVE model that were developed for the Commission and that were made public on April 23, 2018.²
- VCE considers the analyses and conclusions of this IRP report to be tentative and subject to adjustments as market conditions change and technology and customer preferences evolve.
- VCE’s analysis considers only the generation portion of electric services delivered to VCE’s customers since this is the only part for which VCE is responsible. It is anticipated that the IRP filing by PG&E will cover the other aspects, such as transmission, distribution, and Demand Side Management programs.
- VCE’s Action Plan includes several activities that are expected to enable VCE to fine-tune and adjust its resource plan, including issuing a solicitation for long term local renewable capacity and setting long term procurement policies and goals for the organization.

The estimated GHG emissions for VCE in 2030 using Commission’s Clean Net Short Tool is shown in Table 1 below for each of the resource portfolios considered, as well as the Commission GHG benchmark value of 129,000 tons per year for the year 2030.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commission Mandated Benchmark</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Cleaner Base</th>
<th>Local</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VCE’s IRP analysis is based on a simplified hourly production cost model of VCE’s portfolio, where it is assumed that California as a whole follows the resource plan outlined in the Reference System Plan and that VCE can freely buy and sell energy into the CAISO electricity and ancillary service markets at the market prices expected in the Reference System Plan provided by the Commission. VCE’s analysis also uses the same assumptions that the Reference System Plan was based on, including the same assumptions regarding levelized costs for new generating resources and the same renewable energy resource classifications, renewable energy profiles, and geographical naming conventions (e.g. “Solano Wind” or “CAISO Solar for CAISO”).

VCE’s Action Plan outlines key activities over the next several years for VCE. Among the more important steps in the Action Plan is to conduct a public solicitation for long term renewable energy contracts that will help VCE to cost-effectively meet it load obligations in a manner that meets regulatory requirements and is consistent with VCE’s vision and strategy. The Action Plan also outlines other key activities over the

² http://cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=6442457210
next 1-3 years, including establishing long term greenhouse gas goals and key performance indicators that will allow VCE and its constituent members to track progress on key factors such as climate change, programs, and energy efficiency. Section 4 of this report describes VCE’s Action Plan in more detail.

Although, VCE has selected the Cleaner Base as its preferred resource portfolio, VCE considers local resources to be key in its long term procurement strategy to meet its long term vision of managing customized programs, local investments, and employment as well as helping participating jurisdictions achieve their long term climate and sustainability goals. VCE therefore aims to pursue local renewable projects when feasible and consistent with competitive customer rates.
2. Study Design

The study was designed to inform VCE, its Board, management, and community on the relative energy supply cost differences between portfolios that would meet the minimum required to achieve compliance with RPS requirements and the 2030 GHG target established by the Commission for VCE. Three portfolios were modeled:

1. A conforming portfolio that meets the minimum renewable content and GHG emissions requirement at least cost (“Base”)
2. An alternative portfolio with a higher percentage of RPS-eligible renewable energy content that is expected to be contracted at the lowest cost without considering the geographical location of resources (“Cleaner Base”)
3. A second alternative Portfolio that seeks to meet regulatory and statutory requirements with a higher percentage of local resources compared to the Base and Cleaner Base portfolios (“Local”)

VCE’s vision includes supplying electricity from local energy sources at prices that are competitive with PG&E rates – VCE therefore looked at these important aspects in evaluating portfolio alternatives.

The IRP study period required by the Commission covers 2018 through 2030. VCE began operations in June of 2018; therefore, 2018 is modeled for the June 1 – December 31 period. VCE’s approach is based on utilizing current market data for the front years of the IRP study period (2018-2021), and using available data and assumptions from Commission to the extent possible as a basis for resource portfolio choices in the 2022-2030 period.

Our modeling approach is based on considering VCE as a “price taker” in the CAISO market wherein it is assumed that VCE, due to its small peak load and energy demand relative to the rest of the CAISO market, cannot influence prices and therefore can buy and sell power at CAISO spot market prices, as represented by the RESOLVE model results for the 42 MMT Reference System Plan, wherein CO2 allowance prices are implicitly reflected in the CAISO price.

The GHG planning price is not used in the VCE model runs, because VCE does not propose to own or otherwise sign long term contracts for fossil-fueled generation. VCE’s only exposure to GHG avoidance costs is from the cost of GHG mitigation implicit in power market pricing for net purchases of load from the CAISO and for net sales of renewables into the CAISO market.

a. Objectives

The objective of the IRP is to provide guidance for VCE’s Board, executive management, and the public regarding the relative power supply cost impact of various long term resource options for meeting electric demand in the 2018-2030 period and to ensure that these options are strategically aligned with VCE’s short and long term vision (see Error! Reference source not found.).

The resource portfolios identified in this IRP illustrate tradeoffs in terms of costs and greenhouse gas emissions between different resource options and levels of ambition in terms of renewable sources and local generating sources used by VCE to meet its load obligations. Three portfolio scenarios are considered to reflect resource choice alternatives as well as potential outcomes in terms of load using the 2017 IEPR load forecast update for the mid AAEE and mid AAPV cases. The cases and resource portfolio choices are discussed in the assumptions section below.
b. Methodology

Based on the California Energy Commission’s (CEC’s) IEPR forecasts, annual electric consumption for VCE in the 2018-2030 period represents less than half a percent of the statewide electric consumption (0.28%). It is therefore expected that VCE will have little or no opportunity to influence market prices of any of the components of the electric supply for this IRP. In other words, VCE is a price taker. Under this expectation, VCE can transact energy, capacity, and resource adequacy and enter into short or long term contracts without impacting the overall market prices for these items. This philosophy is reflected in our methodology. In a further effort to make the IRP consistent with Commission’s requirements and assumptions for California as a whole, our methodology for quantifying the costs and greenhouse gas impacts of portfolio alternatives relies exclusively on publicly available data provided by the Commission to support this IRP process as well as on CEC’s updated 2017 IEPR forecast that includes a forecast of energy demand for VCE.

Three load and resource portfolios are considered in this IRP:

1. Base Portfolio (aka Conforming portfolio)
2. Cleaner Base Portfolio (aka Preferred Portfolio)
3. Local Portfolio (to reflect more ambitious local resource choices)

The detailed assumptions for each portfolio as well as the individual resource components of each portfolio are shown in the Modeling Approach Section below.

i. Modeling Tool(s)

VCE’s resource plan is based on a simplified production cost modeling approach that utilizes publicly available data from the various tools provided by the Commission as well as the IEPR load forecast from the CEC. With this data, VCE developed a spreadsheet model that captures the expected costs of providing electricity to VCE’s customers in the 2018-2030 period under different resource portfolio alternatives. Thus, no formal commercially available production cost model is used, but the analysis is consistent with the data and assumptions of the RESOLVE model, the GHG calculator, and the RPS calculator.

The RESOLVE model provides a simplified representation of the entire WECC system and performs a cost-based simulation and forecast for the 2018-2030 period that selects resources and provides estimates of total and marginal costs as well as emissions and reliability parameters. With this model, only 37 representative days per year are modeled and subsequently aggregated to provide an estimate of full-year impacts. In contrast, the spreadsheet model utilized by VCE assumes that prices and resources are given. VCE is treated as a price taker in the CAISO market, wherein VCE’s objective is to minimize costs for meeting its resource needs at given prices for capacity, energy, and new resources. The input assumptions used for this model are drawn from RESOLVE model results and input assumptions as well as from the Commission’s GHG Calculator tool and CEC’s IEPR load forecast. This approach provides a view of VCE’s resource costs and portfolio options in the 2018-2030 period that is consistent with the RESOLVE model.

For the purpose of this IRP and in order to capture the hourly impacts of using the Mid-Mid load forecast from the CEC, VCE uses the CEC published load shape for the PGE area as an approximation for VCE’s hourly load. While VCE would consider it preferable to use a load shape that is more reflective of actual conditions in Yolo County, the CEC load shape was used to maintain consistency and to ensure the hourly impacts of AAEE and AAPV under the Mid-Mid Case are incorporated. VCE’s load forecast
and load shape, as provided by the CEC, are based on a forecast for all 8760 hours of a normal year. The GHG Calculator is also based on using 8760 hours per year to calculate the clean net short and the GHG emissions using 8760 renewable energy profiles. Therefore, in order to be able to use the hourly RESOLVE marginal costs for CAISO power as a proxy for long term market prices, these were re-calculated to an 8760 price series, first compacting the RESOLVE prices into a monthly 24-hour power price and subsequently extrapolating to create an 8760 price series. With this approach, there are only 24 hourly prices in each month – every first hour of each day has the same price, and so on. While simplified, this approach provides a view of marginal electricity costs in the CAISO market that is consistent with the RESOLVE model results and also captures the impact of carbon prices on the CAISO market price for electricity.

**ii. Modeling Approach**

The IRP covers the period 2018-2030. However, not every year is modeled. For the first 3.5 years of the forecast, June 1, 2018 through December 31, 2021, our outlook is based on market forecasts and expectations of market prices rather than a production cost model. VCE believes that this provides a more realistic approach to near term resource costs. It is also expected that that in the 2018-2021 period, the majority of resources used to meet VCE’s load will be based on short term contracts and market purchases that will cover VCE’s need for energy, capacity and RPS-eligible renewable energy (and/or RECs).

For the period 2022-2030, VCE bases its analysis on the materials available from the Commission as described under Modeling Tools above, as well as in the assumptions section of this chapter. As a result, only the years 2022, 2026, and 2030 are analyzed at an hourly detail and only for these years are the detailed portfolio choices considered.

**Resource Portfolio Alternatives Considered**

VCE considered three alternative resource portfolios to provide a range of potential outcomes that will help guide future procurement and illustrate trade-offs in terms of costs, renewable energy contracting and the amount of energy bought in the CAISO market. All resource portfolios are designed to comply with California’s 2030 RPS goals as well as with the Commission GHG emissions benchmark of 129,000 tons by 2030.

The three scenarios considered were constructed around shifting three policy parameters that are important to VCE: The overall carbon footprint of the portfolio, the amount of RPS-eligible renewable energy, and the resource mix, including the amount of energy that is sourced from locally available renewable energy sources. Note that because VCE currently does not have any resources under ownership or long term contracts, the IRP portfolio alternatives are mainly for illustration of options and potential trade-offs.

As discussed in the Action Plan section of this report, actual resource trade-offs and costs will likely be discovered only following more detailed studies and evaluation of actual offers for long term supply. Table 2 below provides an overview of the Resource Portfolios.
Table 2. Resource Portfolios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio</th>
<th>Portfolio Aspect</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base (Conforming)</td>
<td>Load Forecast</td>
<td>IEPR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Mix</td>
<td>Least cost California resources. Local renewables if cost effective.</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon-free</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner Base (Preferred)</td>
<td>Load Forecast</td>
<td>IEPR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Mix</td>
<td>Least cost California resources. Local renewables if cost effective.</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon-free</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local (Alternative)</td>
<td>Load Forecast</td>
<td>IEPR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Mix</td>
<td>Expand local wind, biomass, geothermal and solar from 2022.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon-free</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VCE plans to secure RPS resources from RPS-eligible California resources as well as through PCC1 RECs. Carbon-free resources are expected to be purchased under long or short term contracts that do not qualify for RPS but are otherwise carbon-free, such as large scale hydro resources from California or the Pacific Northwest. The carbon-free energy is also not synced with VCE’s load which means that even though VCE plans to directly or indirectly offset 75%-100% of its electricity consumption with energy from carbon-free sources, VCE will still have a carbon footprint when using the Commission GHG calculator tool. The detailed resource mix under each of these portfolios is shown in separate Excel files that are submitted together with this IRP. It should be noted, that for near term supply, VCE will rely on available generic non-resource-specific power in the CAISO market for energy and capacity and on RECs to meet RPS requirements.

**Modeling Approach Details**

For the 2018-2021 period, VCE models costs and resource portfolio impacts based on expected market conditions, as described by currently available price in bilateral markets for energy and capacity as well as electric power futures from the Intercontinental Exchange (ICE) for NP15. Electric demand is based on CEC’s 2017 IEPR Baseline Electric Mid Demand Mid AAEE and AAPV forecast, as published in April 2018. Since CEC does not publish hourly demand profiles for VCE, VCE elected to use an hourly demand forecast for the PGE area that reflects the shape for the “Mid-Mid” case. It should be noted that the actual load shape for VCE’s service territory is likely different from PG&E’s overall shape because VCE’s service area is smaller, lacks geographic and climatological diversity, and also has a different climate compared to both the Bay Area and the rest of the Central Valley.

For the 2022-2030 period, VCE relies on data from the GHG calculator and the RESOLVE model’s updated results for the 42MMT Reference System Plan, as made available by the Commission in April 2018. The following RESOLVE model results and assumptions were used: hourly CAISO market price forecast (extrapolated to cover 8760 hours per year), levelized costs of new entry of renewable energy

---

4 http://cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=6442457210
capacity and lithium ion batteries, resource potential for new capacity in California. The resource alternatives and costs considered for each portfolio include

Table 3 Resource Options Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Type</th>
<th>RESOLVE (and GHG Calculator) resource name(s)</th>
<th>2022 Levelized Cost (2016 $/MWh)</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Contracted_NW Wind Northern_California Wind Solano_Wind CAISO_Wind_for_CAIOS</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Same cost used for all wind resources considered&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar</td>
<td>CAISO_Solar_for_CAIOS Northern_California_Solar</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Used interchangeably for large scale solar resources not located in Yolo County assuming same cost&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Solar</td>
<td>Solano_Solar</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>Used to denote local small scale solar resources of less than 1 MW (e.g. parking lot and rooftop PV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Solar</td>
<td>Central_Valley_North_Los_Banos_Solar</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Used to denote local medium scale solar resources between 1 and 10 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>Assumed to be in Yolo County or adjoining County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Assumed to be in county adjacent to Yolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Hydro</td>
<td>Small Hydro</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>In Yolo county</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Hydro</td>
<td>Large Hydro</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Used as proxy for GHG free energy (non source-specific) in GHG Calculator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4h Energy Storage</td>
<td>Battery Storage</td>
<td>$143 /kW-yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The spreadsheet model was developed based on existing tools and data from the Commission and uses renewable energy profiles from the GHG Calculator together with the resource selection under each resource portfolio to calculate the amount generated by resources under contract as well as the hourly need for additional energy from the CAISO market. CAISO energy purchases are then assumed to be made at the hourly marginal electricity prices developed by the RESOLVE model for the Reference System Plan.<sup>6</sup>

Figure 3 below provides an overview of the modeling methodology used in this IRP for the 2022-2030 period.

<sup>5</sup> VCE does not have long term contracts in place – the exact sourcing of renewable energy will therefore depend on prices, deliverability and proximity to VCE’s service territory

<sup>6</sup> While largely the same as the RESOLVE renewable energy profiles, the GHG calculator provides for full 8760 hour per year renewable energy profiles that are more useful for VCE’s mode.
iii. Assumptions

Load

The load forecast is based on the “mid Baseline mid AAEE mid AAPV” version of Form 1.1c of the CEC’s adopted 2017 IEPR forecast that was published in February of 2018 (henceforth IEPR forecast). The annual energy demand in this forecast is shown in Table 4, below. No modification was made to this forecast other than fitting the annual energy demand to the hourly demand shape for PG&E that was also made available by CEC under the IEPR docket. This load shape is not specific to VCE and is likely to underestimate the “peakiness” and annual peak load for VCE, because Yolo County tends to have very warm summer peak temperatures while at the same time experiencing a significant cool off during the night time in the summer. VCE expects that these effects and other diurnal and or seasonal effects will be better captured in the next IRP update once VCE has gained operational experience and has a track record for its hourly load relative to PG&E’s. The expected annual energy and peak demand using the IEPR load forecast are shown in Table 4. Energy demand is unchanged across the resource portfolios considered in this IRP report.

---

Table 4. Updated IEPR retail demand forecast and VCE load forecast. (Annual Energy and Peak Demand) under the updated 2017 IEPR forecast Mid AAEE, Mid AAPV case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017 IEPR forecast Mid AAEE, Mid AAPV case</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual peak load in IEPR forecast (MW)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expected Power Market Prices and Resource Costs

2018-2021

In the early part of the IRP planning horizon, covering the 2018-2020 period, VCE expects to rely mainly on short-term contracted resources to meet resource needs. By 2021, VCE will need to have in place long term renewable supply contracts of terms of at least 10 years in duration for at least 65% of its minimum RPS obligations. Those long term contracts are expected to begin phasing in during 2020. For the short term resource supply, VCE expects to procure them at current market prices and that these market prices will remain relatively stable in the 2018-2021 period. For this period, estimates of costs for generation are therefore based on current market conditions for electricity and RA.

For the 2020-2021 period, ICE power forwards for NP15 are used as an estimate of expected spot market prices. RA costs are assumed to remain stable in the 2018-2020 period and then start to increase as California supply and demand tighten. The latter assumption is supported by forecasts by CAISO and NERC that suggest that California reserve margins will remain above California’s 15% planning reserve margin until at least 2024 when the Diablo Canyon nuclear facility retires. Table 5, below shows the expected electricity prices, resource adequacy, and REC prices for the 2018-2021 period.

Table 5. Power, RA, REC and Carbon-free Prices 2018-2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale electric power prices ($/MWh)</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Adequacy (SkW-yr)</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC1 REC ($/MWh)</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon-free Price Premium ($/MWh)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For modeling purposes VCE does not expect that the long term renewable supply contracts put in place to meet the 2021 long term contracting requirement will deliver substantial quantities of energy much prior to 2021, and will therefore not have a material impact on power supply in the 2018-2020 period.

2022-2030

From 2022 onwards, the IRP relies on results and assumptions from the RESOLVE model as an approximation of expected market conditions, including CAISO power prices, value of additional capacity to meet planning reserve margins and local capacity margins, and the cost of new entry for new capacity with which VCE is assumed to be able to contract. Table 6. Power, RA, REC and Carbon-free Prices 2022-2030 summarizes the annual expected values for power, RA, and the estimated price Premium for Carbon-free key energy for 2022, 2026, and 2030.
VCE’s assumption regarding the costs for resource adequacy is based on a combination of market pricing and costs of new resources reported in the RESOLVE model’s input assumptions. The RESOLVE model results for the Commission’s Reference Portfolio do not show any shortage of capacity in the forecast and consequently does not value resource adequacy (the shadow price of the reserve margin requirement). In this report, it is instead assumed that RA will continue to have a non-zero price and that RA prices will rise towards the cost of entry for new capacity by the middle of the next decade following the retirements of Diablo Canyon and California’s once-through cooling capacity. Based on the costs of new capacity shown in the input assumptions for the RESOLVE model, battery storage will also become the lowest cost source of RA and flexible capacity from the mid 2020’s. VCE therefore bases its RA cost assumptions on the predicted levelized cost for a 4-hour new lithium ion battery following a ramp up of capacity prices that result from a tightening of California reserve margins as noted above. VCE’s RA price assumptions will comprise about $5-8/MWh of the all-in levelized power cost estimated for the 2025-2030 period. Table 6 shows the RA prices used during the 2022-2030 period.

The IRP portfolios analyzed in this report does not assume renewable energy from short term contracts in the 2022-2030 period, instead, all renewable energy capacity is modeled directly as long term power purchases from renewable resources using the templates and naming conventions devised by the Commission. As part of its 2018-2019 resource mix, VCE procures carbon-free energy from non-RPS resources to maintain a 75% carbon-free portfolio. VCE will likely continue procuring such clean resources in the future and assumes that the price premium for carbon-free energy will remain similar to today’s levels also during the 2022-2030 timeframe.

For new or existing renewable energy capacity that VCE will contract for in the 2022-2030 period, VCE relies on the RESOLVE model’s cost of new capacity entry. As part of the Action Plan described in Section 4 of this report, VCE expects to conduct a solicitation for new resource in 2018 and in 2019. As part of that process, it is anticipated that more detailed insights will be gained regarding near term costs for new capacity that will eventually replace the RESOLVE model assumptions used in this report. Note that in the 2022-2030 period, VCE only performs a detailed assessment of resource needs and resource portfolios for the years that were covered in the RESOLVE model, namely 2022, 2026 and 2030.

Table 6. Power, RA, REC and Carbon-free Prices 2022-2030

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale electric power prices ($/MWh)</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Adequacy ($kW-yr)</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>116.4</td>
<td>110.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon-free Price Premium ($/MWh)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VCE Market Modeling Assumptions**

There are several assumptions that may influence the results of the IRP as shown in this study. For example, per the instructions offered in the guidelines to this IRP template provided by the Commission as attachment A to R.16-02-007 COM/LR1/il/1it2, load serving entities (LSEs) are directed to “... assume that other LSEs procure in a manner consistent with the Reference System Plan.” VCE is a small LSE that represent only 0.3% of the anticipated CAISO electricity consumption in the 2018-2030 period. It is therefore assumed that VCE’s resource decisions will not impact decisions by other LSEs, market prices for power, capacity, or new renewable energy resource costs during the 2018-2030 period. Thus, if other LSEs perform in accordance with the Reference System Plan, then VCE will be able to buy and sell power at the prices modeled in RESOLVE (as a price taker) and will be able to enter into long term contracts at the levelized cost levels shown in the RESOLVE model’s results for the Reference System plan.
VCE’s resource plan assumes that its energy resources portfolio will include only RPS-eligible renewable and large hydro, and that the balance of its electricity and resource adequacy supply will be procured in CAISO electricity markets or by pursuing other bilateral procurement opportunities. Consistent with VCE’s long term vision of increasingly procuring local resources and contributing to the development of new capacity, VCE expects its portfolio of renewable energy resources to be located primarily in northern California.

Planning Reserve Margins, Local RA, and Flexible Resource needs

All resource portfolios in this IRP are based on contracting and procuring energy and capacity to meet the annual energy demand as well as the expected monthly capacity need, including a 15% planning reserve margin to meet resource adequacy needs. It is also assumed that in procuring capacity to meet a 15% reserve margin, the procured capacity will be able to also meet local and flexible ramping needs. As a result, no additional capacity is envisioned to meet this need. This is consistent with the modeling results of RESOLVE for the Reference System Plan, which suggests that sufficient capacity will be available in CAISO and in the North Bay area without additional procurement (by VCE or other LSEs) of additional new thermal capacity. VCE expects to perform

Inflation

Unless otherwise indicated, all cost impacts shown in this IRP are in constant 2016 dollars. For the purpose of estimating nominal costs or for converting nominal dollars to real, the IEPR deflator posted on Commission’s IRP website was used.

Greenhouse Gas Planning Price and Emissions Benchmark

The greenhouse gas planning price is not explicitly used in this IRP because all of the resources identified by VCE are renewable resources not emitting any greenhouse gas. Instead, as an estimate of future GHG prices, RESOLVE’s hourly CAISO prices for the Reference System Plan are used, in which the Greenhouse gas planning price is reflected implicitly and therefore does not need to be considered separately.

This IRP includes three resource plan options, of which VCE’s Board has approved the Cleaner Base Portfolio as its Preferred Portfolio. All of the resource portfolios show that the expected greenhouse gas emissions are lower than the Greenhouse Gas Emissions Benchmark for VCE of 129,000 metric tons by 2030. This is a result of focusing mainly on renewable energy and storage as well as the stated policy of VCE to be at least 75% carbon-free – i.e. a portfolio that is 75% free of greenhouse gas emissions, through the use of RPS resources and hydroelectric energy which may or may not be matching the load shape for VCE. In VCE’s modeling it is assumed that non RPS GHG free energy is procured in blocks that are not matched with VCE load and therefore does not offset all GHG emissions resulting from the use of Commission’s Clean Net Short methodology. Enclosed with this IRP, VCE also submits the GHG calculator tool showing the estimated 2030 emissions from its 2030 Preferred Portfolio.

Pursuant to the May 25, 2018 ruling by the Commission regarding GHG Benchmarks, VCE calculated its estimated greenhouse gas emissions for 2030 using the Clean Net Short method by utilizing version 1.4.4 of the GHG Calculator tool (aka Clean Net Short calculator).

3. Study Results

This section shows study results for the three different IRP portfolios that were considered by VCE. Detailed portfolio selection results are shown in Excel spreadsheets that were filed together with this IRP. Due to the fact that VCE just initiated operations in June of 2018 and the fact that VCE has not yet entered into any long term contracts for new or existing resources, the identified resource portfolios should be understood as preliminary options and broad direction rather than precise results. VCE expects that its resource and contracts portfolio will evolve significantly in the 2018-2021 period.

a. Portfolio Results

Three resource portfolios were considered by VCE in this IRP in order to obtain directional insights on future resource investment alternatives that are aligned with VCE’s long term vision for how to serve its customers in the future. VCE does not yet have any resources under contracts spanning beyond 2019. Therefore, the results shown in this section as well as in the attached spreadsheets that provide details on the portfolio selection, are necessarily approximations that should be viewed as options and guidance on general direction rather than providing specific detailed procurement targets. VCE expects that in the next 1-3 years, as it conducts additional studies and gains operational experience, it will develop more detailed procurement plans for short and long term contracting of resources. These planned activities are described in Section 4 of this report.

Table 7 below shows a summary of resource portfolio results for each of the three portfolios considered. All resource portfolios shown in Table 7 meet the Commission’s IRP requirements. VCE’s Board utilized these portfolios in its consideration of future resource policy. The portfolio entitled Cleaner Base was selected as VCE’s Preferred Portfolio and Section 3b provides a detailed overview of this portfolio and how it complies with regulatory and statutory requirements. The detailed resource choices for each portfolio are also shown in the following Excel files that were submitted together with this IRP: INSER NAMES OF XLS FILES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio Results Summary (MW Nameplate Capacity)</th>
<th>Base (Conforming)</th>
<th>CleanerBase (Preferred)</th>
<th>Local (Alternative)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>0 49</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTM Solar</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar</td>
<td>0 69</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>91.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Solar</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Hydro</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hour Li-Ion Battery Storage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b. Preferred Portfolio

VCE’s Board of Directors at its meeting on July 12, 2018, approved this resource plan, including the Cleaner Base Portfolio which was selected by the Board as its Preferred Portfolio. This portfolio represents an ambitious combination of renewable and carbon-free energy that will allow VCE to reach an 80% RPS level by 2030 and to offset up to 100 percent of its annual electric demand from zero emission sources by 2022 through a combination contracted renewable energy resources, REC purchases and procurement of energy from carbon-free resources such as large scale hydro. A summary of the resource choices in this portfolio is shown in Table 7, above. The resulting generation from the Preferred Portfolio as well as the estimated annual electric demand is summarized in Table 8, below. Portfolio details for the Preferred Portfolio are also shown in the Excel files TBD and TBD.

Table 8. Summary of annual electric demand and generation by resource group for the Preferred Portfolio Cleaner Base (MWh).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail Electric Demand</td>
<td>456,000</td>
<td>752,000</td>
<td>738,000</td>
<td>726,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Energy Demand (accounting for losses)</td>
<td>487,920</td>
<td>804,640</td>
<td>789,660</td>
<td>776,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAISO Energy</td>
<td>147,402</td>
<td>59,647</td>
<td>57,967</td>
<td>77,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon-free Energy</td>
<td>147,340</td>
<td>296,472</td>
<td>221,312</td>
<td>142,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>187,884</td>
<td>141,461</td>
<td>153,647</td>
<td>139,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>302,390</td>
<td>356,593</td>
<td>434,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Hydro</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>6,450-</td>
<td>6,450-</td>
<td>6,450-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,780)</td>
<td>(6,309)</td>
<td>(23,213)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS Delivered (% of Retail load)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Carbon-free Energy (of Retail Load)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Portfolio GHG Emissions (MT 000)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The portfolio generation summarized in Table 8, above, shows the performance of a tentative resource portfolio for VCE that is consistent with VCE’s long term vision while at the same time meeting Commission and statutory requirements as well as delivering a cost-effective portfolio. The resource

\[10\] Carbon-free Energy is supply of electricity that is certified to be carbon-free but typically not RPS eligible. Sources likely include in state or out of state large hydro facilities.
choices are based on estimated short term and long term costs for energy, capacity, renewables and carbon-free energy.

VCE’s long term operational goals include maintaining electricity prices that are competitive with PG&E retail prices while at the same time delivering a supply portfolio that is both cleaner and more locally sourced than PG&E’s portfolio. Considering these priorities, the long term portfolio mix is likely to be adjusted compared to the above in line with changes in market prices.

Based on the levelized cost estimates that were included in the RESOLVE model, VCE expects solar PV along with wind to be the lowest cost supply alternative for supply from existing and new sources in the 2018-2030 period. As part of VCE’s action plan that is described in Section 4 of this report, VCE plans to conduct a solicitation for long term renewable energy supply, which is expected to result in PPAs for VCE’s future supply. As part of this process, additional insight into what resources can be developed locally and the estimated costs for such resources is also expected to be gained. It should therefore be emphasized that the specific resource groups identified in the Excel files submitted with this IRP (Large Hydro, Northern California Solar, etc) are only indicative sources of potential supply that may change depending on availability and price of resources – if VCE were to have the opportunity to secure lower cost renewable energy supply from other sources, those would most likely be considered and contracted for.

In line with many other industry analysts, the RESOLVE model’s levelized costs for battery storage also suggests a long term declining trend. Declining costs for battery storage suggest that in the next ten years, batteries are likely to become the most cost-effective means of meeting VCE’s resource adequacy needs, surpassing traditional gas-fired generation in terms of resource costs. Therefore, the Preferred Portfolio includes up to 20MW of battery capacity by 2030, far surpassing the statutory mandate of 1 percent of VCE’s demand. If battery storage costs decline faster than anticipated, VCE may consider increasing its reliance on batteries, and conversely, if battery costs remain at close to 2018-2020 levels, then VCE is likely to rely more on market purchases for its RA needs.

The estimated Greenhouse gas emissions from the Preferred Portfolio are far below the 2030 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Benchmark that was mandated by Commission in its April 3, 2018 ruling on GHG benchmarks, which stipulated a GHG Emissions Benchmark for VCE of 129,000 tons per year. There are two reasons why VCE’s GHG emissions are expected to be significantly below this benchmark. First, the modeling performed by VCE suggests that higher RPS levels can be achieved at little or no incremental cost compared to other more carbon intensive portfolios. This result is of course a direct result of the expected market prices for energy and the expected levelized costs for new renewable energy resources - should costs change significantly, VCE expects to also re-prioritize its portfolio. Second, VCE already delivers electricity that is 75% carbon-free. By increasing its procurement of carbon-free energy, VCE hopes to be able to fully offset its retail energy sales with RPS eligible energy or carbon-free resources. Procurement of carbon-free (non RPS) is approximated in the GHG Calculator as “Large Hydro” carbon-free. VCE’s reliance on carbon-free resources is however contingent on a continued low to moderate price premium for carbon-free energy. If demand for carbon-free energy were to increase significantly, VCE may need to adjust its portfolio to ensure that costs of serving load remain competitive.

Based on using the GHG Calculator tool, the estimated GHG emissions from VCE’s portfolio increases in the 2022 to 2030 time frame even as the RPS content of the portfolio increases. This result is driven by the expectation that solar resources will gradually displace less fossil-fueled energy during its hours of operation as the penetration of clean energy resource increases. This is shown in the GHG Calculator
tool as an hourly system emission factor that is zero for many more hours in 2030 compared to 2022 or 2026.

c. Alternative Portfolio

VCE, while having selected the Cleaner Base as its Preferred Portfolio, has as a long term vision, the goal of emphasizing local renewable resource development. Therefore, to the greatest extent possible where feasible and economic it will rely on local renewable resources. VCE views the Local portfolio as a desirable Alternate Portfolio to the Preferred Portfolio.

d. Statutory Requirements under PUC 454.52 (a) (1)

Section 454.52 (a) (1) of the Public Utility Code sets out a number of requirements which LSE’s must demonstrate that they meet in their IRP:

- **Meet GHG emissions reduction targets established by the State Air Resources Board.** VCE’s Preferred Resource Portfolio shows estimated GHG emissions of 82,000 metric tons per year by 2030, which is well below the 129,000 tons per year planning target established for VCE.
- **Procure at least 50 percent eligible renewable energy resources by December 31, 2030.** All portfolios considered in this IRP will meet the statutory RPS requirements. The Preferred Portfolio will significantly exceed the RPS mandate by getting 80 of its energy supply from RPS-eligible renewable energy by 2030. As noted above, the actual level achieved is subject to continuous evaluation by VCE and will depend on how market conditions and prices for renewable energy evolve. While VCE has a strong commitment to a clean local supply of energy, maintaining competitive retail electric prices are also a key consideration in the balancing of priorities for VCE.
- **Minimize impacts on ratepayers’ bills.** See section 3.b.ii below.
- **Ensure system and local reliability.** Since VCE is not a distribution utility, most of the obligations in this area do not apply. However, VCE, in its resource plan incorporates the need for providing system and local RA at 115% of the expected monthly peak load for VCE. The estimated costs for such capacity are incorporated in the resource costs for all portfolios, including the Preferred Portfolio. Additionally, VCE will incorporate into its long term power purchase agreements with intermittent renewable resources the ability to curtail output in the face of negative market prices.
- **Enhance distribution systems and demand-side energy management.** At this point in its short existence, VCE has not taken any action regarding demand side energy management. As highlighted in the Action Plan in section 4 below, VCE plans to conduct studies regarding commencing programs that could include energy efficiency, demand response and other incentives for VCE customers, once VCE accrues sufficient financial reserves to start such activities. Until such time that VCE starts any demand or efficiency programs, all such activities and programs will be the responsibility of PG&E as the distribution utility for VCE.
- **Minimize localized air pollutants and other greenhouse gas emissions, with early priority on disadvantaged communities identified pursuant to Section 39711 of the Health and Safety Code.** See section 3.b.i below.
i. Local Air Pollutant Minimization

VCE’s Preferred Portfolio includes only renewable energy resources. These will be supplemented by additional market purchases of energy and resource adequacy to ensure a complete supply portfolio. VCE’s contract portfolio is therefore not expected to include any resources that adversely impact local air pollution.

CalEnviroScreen 3.0 shows that within Yolo County there are four census tracts that meet the Commission’s criteria of identifying the top 25% of impacted areas as disadvantaged communities. Of these, only one, namely area 101.02 is partially located in VCE’s service territory. The total number of households in this census tract was 2,408 in 2016\textsuperscript{11}. Based on a cross-comparison with VCE customer addresses in this area, it is estimated that less than 100 VCE customer service accounts are located within this impacted area. According to the CalEnviroscreen 3.0 tool\textsuperscript{12}, the key reasons for this census tract falling within the top 25% appears to be risks associated with a combination of low income and environmental factors such as groundwater risks, cleanup sites, hazardous waste and air pollution. There are no power plants in this area. It should also be noted that the impacted areas are situated close to major transportation hubs that likely contribute to the rating.

VCE owns no fossil fuel-fired generation, has no plans to procure energy under long term contract from, or to construct and own, fossil fuel-fired generation. Instead, VCE will be procuring resources with a focus on renewable and carbon-free energy which are not expected to have a significant impact on the census tracts identified by the CalEnviroscreen. To the extent there are any impacts on disadvantaged communities in VCE’s service territory, such impacts are expected to be beneficial through an overall focus on cleaner energy.

Figure 4. CalEnviroscreen 3.0 Results for Yolo County

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Yolo_County_Map.png}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{11} 2016 US Census Bureau statistics for census tract 101.02 (https://www.census.gov/data/data-tools.html)
\textsuperscript{12} https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/calenviroscreen/document/ces3results.xlsx
VCE’s rate is designed to provide economic benefits for all rate payers, including disadvantaged communities. As part of the Action Plan described in chapter 4, VCE also plans to conduct studies to determine suitable programs and incentives that can be launched once VCE accumulates sufficient financial reserves and cash flow to be able to run programs. Until further notice, PG&E will continue to make its programs for energy efficiency and demand response available to VCE customers.

ii. Cost and Rate Analysis

VCE’s cost and rate analysis includes only an assessment of generation costs. VCE recognizes that while areas such as transmission, distribution, and programs are very important for the overall energy cost for VCE customers, PG&E is responsible for the energy delivery infrastructure and any costs associated with this will likely be covered in PG&E’s IRP filing.

Figure 5, shows a comparison of the estimated generation costs for VCE in each of the years, 2018, 2022, 2026, and 2030 for the Preferred Portfolio as well as the other portfolios considered. The Figure also contrasts the estimated costs for VCE’s generation supply with the expected generation costs reported in the RESOLVE model’s Reference System Plan. The results for VCE’s portfolios were derived by using the Commission provided tools, including the GHG Calculator and the RESOLVE modeling results and assumptions, as described in Section 2, above. Table 9 shows these results in Table format.

Table 9. Estimated annual generation costs ($/MWh)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Portfolio</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference Portfolio (RESOLVE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner Base</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9 and Figure 5 show that the Preferred Portfolio will remain below the RESOLVE model’s estimated generation costs for the Reference System Plan except in the year 2030 when the Preferred Portfolio will be slightly above the Reference System Plan’s modeled generation costs. The main reason that VCE’s estimated portfolio costs exceed the results of the RESOLVE model, is likely that VCE’s model assumes that new capacity and RA will be procured at costs that are at or close to the levelized fixed cost of new storage whereas the RESOLVE model appears to have a (near) zero value for capacity in 2030. This implies that if electricity markets get constrained to the point of needing new investments in capacity by 2030, market costs for energy and RA could be substantially higher than those approximated by the RESOLVE curve. Conversely, if the electric market remains over-supplied with capacity as a result of declining demand and/or investments in capacity that are not motivated by reserve margin needs, the estimated costs for VCE’s portfolio alternative could go down to levels that are at or below the RESOLVE model generation cost benchmark.

VCE’s estimated costs include the estimated levelized costs for resources under contract. It is assumed that all renewable resources, existing or new, can be contracted at the estimated levelized costs for new resources of the RESOLVE model. It is further assumed that VCE will get access to all attributes of resources that are under contract – energy, RA, RECs, local RA and ramping. VCE plans to rely on market purchases for all energy and capacity needed beyond the renewable energy and capacity that will be under contract.

For market purchases, it is assumed that in the 2018-2021 period, energy and RA will be available at prices indicated through current RA prices in bilateral (or OTC) markets. Energy is expected to be available at prices corresponding to ICE’s power futures prices for NP15. In the 2022-2030 period, it is assumed that energy can be procured at the estimated hourly CAISO price reported for RESOLVE’s Reference System Plan. It is also assumed that RA can be secured at a capacity corresponding to the lowest capacity cost between the traditional provider of capacity, a Gas-fired combustion turbine, and the emerging capacity resource - 4-hour lithium ion batteries. Cost estimates displayed in the RESOLVE model suggests that from 2022 onwards, 4 hour battery storage capacity will be a lower cost alternative than conventional gas fired generation. This expectation is based on the assumption that the RA resource will operate for energy only infrequently and that sufficient resources will be available in the system to meet night time and winter energy demand.

When compared to the RESOLVE model’s results, the Preferred portfolio compares favorably in terms of generation costs and by extension also rate impacts over the forecast period. However, the difference in the estimated costs of VCE’s portfolio and the RESOLVE model results implies that other LSEs could find a lower cost solution than the RESOLVE Reference System Plan, mainly due to new renewable resources having lower costs than the marginal cost of CAISO power. This, in turn, makes the RESOLVE model outcome increasingly unlikely as a market outcome and could potentially leave existing assets unable to recover their full costs. VCE recommends that the Commission looks into this potential outcome to better understand overall results when aggregating individual LSE IRPs.
The 2018 Year Ahead CAM List Final Allocation published by the Commission, indicates that there is a total of 1375.36MW of CAM resources available for the month of August\textsuperscript{13}. Using the estimated VCE load share for 2030 published by the Commission in its 2030 GHG Benchmark ruling, VCE would benefit from 0.9% of this capacity, or about 12MW, which in turn corresponds to about 5% of VCE’s anticipated RA requirement in the 2018-2030 period\textsuperscript{14}. The financial costs or benefits of using CAM resources rather than generally available resources to meet VCE’s RA need in the forecast has not been accounted for in this IRP, but it is anticipated that the difference in cost should be small.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textbf{a. Deviations from Current Resource Plans}
\item At the time this report was prepared, there were no deviations from any other filed plans, considering that VCE commenced operations only in June of 2018.
\item \textbf{d. Local Needs Analysis}
\item VCE is not located in a defined Local Capacity Area. Furthermore, the CAISO’s 2017-2018 Transmission Plan as well as the most recent local capacity assessment by the CAISO, suggests that the Central Valley where VCE is located will not have any shortage of local capacity for the 2018-2027 period. However, VCE will continue to procure its share of Resource Adequacy from defined constrained Local Capacity Areas as required by Resource Adequacy mandates. This may include Resource Adequacy available from renewable projects that VCE may procure the output of that happen to be located in Local Capacity Areas within the NP-15 zone. VCE expects that sufficient local capacity and flexible capacity will be available in the market throughout the forecast period.
\end{itemize}

\begin{footnotes}
\item\textsuperscript{13} [http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=6442454905]
\item\textsuperscript{14} [http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Efile/G000/M214/K459/214459514.PDF]
\end{footnotes}
4. Action Plan

VCE only started to serve load for its customers on June 1, 2018. VCE’s Initial operations are entirely based on energy and capacity procurement under short term contracts. VCE also does not yet administer any programs relating to energy efficiency, demand response, or programs to stimulate electrification.

VCE envisions its long term services to contribute to the development of more local renewables and customer cost savings while at the same time enabling a transition towards a zero carbon power supply. (See Figure 1). Over the next 3 years, VCE plans to perform a number of studies and resource solicitations to firm up VCE’s long term planning, resource choices, and strategy. In particular, key issues such as what resource types to focus on, the importance of a local resource supply and potential trade-offs between resource costs and other portfolio attributes still remain to be analyzed. The action plan items below highlights the key near term actions to be taken in the next 1-3 years, including activities to be performed in 2018.

a. Proposed Activities

VCE expects that solar PV and battery storage will likely be key resources in any resource portfolio. However, the extent to which VCE pursues local solar resources and the level of battery deployment will depend in part on the costs for solar and battery storage in different locations and at different scales. Developing better information on costs for local resources and the criteria under which VCE wishes to engage contracting counterparties are therefore key actions in this Action Plan.

i. Long Term Renewable Procurement

VCE will be conducting a long term solicitation in 2018 in which it will be seeking renewable power from RPS-qualifying renewable energy projects, with an expectation that power purchase agreements will be executed in early 2019. In support of this solicitation, VCE will:

- Develop criteria/information requests to evaluate new renewables for projects implementing responsible siting practices (both environmental and land use). Develop associated evaluation criteria.
- Develop criteria for acceptable and preferred renewable technologies and locations (e.g. local vs. remote).
- Develop position on procuring out-of-state resources. Develop criteria defining limits on which states VCE will procure long term renewables from.
- Develop a position on the definition of “local” for renewable resource procurement.
- Determine whether to include battery or other storage options in solicitation.
- Develop criteria for assessing the portfolio content of local versus non local for short-list selection.
- Do a literature review on the economic impacts/value of locally sited renewable resources.

As part of the siting criteria established for the solicitation, VCE will require that bidders identify whether their projects are located in areas with disadvantaged communities. For proposed projects located in disadvantaged communities, as defined in PUC 399.13(a)(7)(A-B), that can demonstrate that their project will provide environmental and economic benefits to that community, additional credit may be given in the selection scoring and ranking.

This long term renewable procurement directly supports achievement of the Preferred Portfolio, and will help make sure VCE identifies sufficient capacity to meet RPS requirements for long term contracts.
ii. Establish Long Term Renewable and GHG Targets for 2030

VCE’s Preferred Portfolio is presented as a planned target for VCE to achieve compliance with RPS requirements and the Commission’s GHG emissions target and go beyond statutory mandates. One of VCE’s long term goals is to exceed the renewable portfolio content and have lower GHG emissions intensity than PG&E, the legacy utility for Yolo County. VCE will continue to assess the most cost-effective ways to achieve a cleaner supply portfolio and plan on using the results from resource solicitations to discover the local cost of renewable energy options and storage in Yolo County and surrounding areas. This activity will also involve

- Assess whether VCE should bifurcate its portfolio to meet the varying sustainability goals of its Members
- Conduct document review of other entities’ climate action plans to inform on extent of aggressive goals established by other entities
- Develop policy proposal for tradeoffs between costs, GHG emissions, local renewable content, impact to different stakeholder groups and disadvantaged communities, etc

iii. Key Portfolio Performance Indicators

Develop metrics to track aspects of the portfolio performance relative to a baseline/comparison metrics. These indicators are also intended to facilitate member jurisdiction’s work on their own policy such as Climate Action Plans and impact on disadvantaged communities.

iv. Evaluate impacts of electrification on Load Forecast

Evaluate methods for incorporating electrification initiatives (e.g., all electric buildings, clean local mobility services, ag pumping conversion, etc) on expected future loads (load profiles as well as peak loads).

v. Evaluate Impacts of Climate Change on Load Forecast

Evaluate methods for incorporating the impacts of climate change on expected future loads (particularly peak loads).

vi. Evaluate Options for Assuming Responsibility for Energy Efficiency/Demand Side Programs from PG&E

Evaluate the scope and costs of effort to assume control of energy efficiency and demand side management programs required by CPUC or other regulations, and what kinds of programs VCE would implement if it assumed control.

vii. Investigate Non-Battery Storage Technologies and Demand Response Options

Investigate other demand response program options and non-battery storage technologies and their cost effectiveness.

- Identify trends that may impact VCE's long term demand forecast and/or load shifting opportunities.
- Determine program options or investments consistent with market and technology trends and cost of service goals.
b. Barrier Analysis

VCE does not own any generating assets and does not have any long-term power purchase agreements with existing facilities. VCE expects to enter into long-term contracts for renewable energy capacity in 2018 and 2019 to meet its resource needs in line with the Preferred Portfolio identified in this report. It is anticipated that sufficient competitive offers are submitted. If costs are higher or resource offers fewer than anticipated, this could trigger changes in the Preferred Portfolio.

One of the challenges for VCE as a recently formed JPA is to obtain and manage the financial security required by counterparties to successfully enter into the amount of long-term contracts for renewable energy required by SB350 (399.13 (b)). This cost will be factored in the evaluation of proposed projects during the solicitation process.

An ongoing risk for VCE as well as all parties entering into long-term contracts in line with the requirement in PUC Section 399.13 (b) is falling costs of new renewable energy and battery storage. If costs for new resources continue to fall in line with historical trends, there is a risk that VCE and other CCAs entering into long-term contracts will eventually encounter above-market costs in their contracted portfolios that need to be accounted for through the PCIA or similar mechanism by which CCA customers opting out of a CCA program can be subject to PCIA charges in the same manners as IOUs use the PCIA today.

VCE plans to only enter into long-term contracts for renewable energy resources and procure the remaining balancing capacity and energy needed for its load through short-term contracts and spot market purchases of energy, and capacity. This exposes VCE to market price risks. In line with the results shown in the RESOLVE model as well as recent work by the CAISO for RA, VCE expects sufficient energy and capacity resources to be available throughout the 2018-2030 period. Natural gas market forecasts also suggest that gas prices (and thereby marginal power prices) are expected to remain low over the foreseeable future, which means electric power prices also should remain low or moderate. Should market conditions tighten, for example through gas price increases or faster than expected tightening of the supply and demand balance in California’s power markets, this could result in higher costs for meeting load and therefore also higher rates. VCE plans to manage this risk by continuously assessing risks and opportunities associated with contracting in line with its risk policy.

b. Proposed Commission Direction

Not Applicable. VCE is not seeking direction from the Commission at this time
5. Data

In this report, VCE has considered three resource portfolios. The files with resource templates for new and existing resources are referenced below and were submitted separately using the Commission mandated spreadsheet templates. For the purposes of this IRP, VCE has not modified the IEPR load forecast or any of the associated load modifiers, including the load shape, which is based on PG&E’s hourly load shape.

3 Available at: http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/irp/filingtemplates/.

4 Available at: http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/irp/filingtemplates/.

a. Baseline Resource Data Template

To be completed

b. New Resource Data Template

To be completed

c. Other Data Reporting Guidelines
6. Lessons Learned

As a newly formed CCA, VCE has not had sufficient time to develop many policies relating to its long term resource options. Therefore this initial IRP lays out how VCE will go about studying and developing key policies that will guide it for the future. The results shown in this report are therefore subject to significant uncertainty.

Regarding the modeling, the CPUC instructed that the LSE in formulating its portfolio could assume that other LSEs follow the Reference System Plan. However, this plan is not specific in terms of who contracts for what resources and there is significant uncertainty in terms of what new resources will actually be developed. VCE selected in its conforming portfolio to use the same resource names as those included for new resources in the RESOLVE model results but note that these selections might be different in reality – for example Southern Nevada solar, could be Northern California solar. VCE therefore encourages the CPUC to view the resource categories and their geography as uncertain and subject to change.
Glossary of Terms

**Alternative Portfolio** – LSEs are permitted to submit “Alternative Portfolios” developed from scenarios using different assumptions from those used in the Reference System Plan. Any deviations from the Conforming Portfolio must be explained and justified.

**Conforming Portfolio** – Each LSE must produce a “Conforming Portfolio” that is demonstrated to be consistent with the Reference System Portfolio according to the following criteria: (1) use of either the GHG Planning Prices or the LSE-Specific 2030 GHG Emissions Benchmark, and (2) use of input assumptions matching those used in developing the Reference System Portfolio.

**Data Template** – Data provided by the LSE should be reported in the “Baseline Resource Data Template” and the “New Resource Data Template” provided by the Commission. “Baseline” means existing resources and costs, including resources already contracted but not yet online. “New” means any new (incremental to the baseline) resources and costs associated with a particular LSE portfolio.

**Disadvantaged Communities** – For the purposes of IRP, and consistent with the results of the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool Version 3 (CalEnviroScreen 3.0), “disadvantaged communities” refer to the 25% highest scoring census tracts in the state along with the 22 census tracts that score in the highest 5% of CalEnviroScreen’s pollution burden, but which do not have an overall CalEnviroScreen score because of unreliable socioeconomic or health data.

**GHG Emissions Benchmark** – Each LSE filing a Standard LSE Plan must use either the GHG Emissions Benchmark or GHG Planning Price in developing its Conforming Portfolio. The LSE-specific benchmarks and calculation method are provided in Table B. If the total emissions attributable to the LSE’s Preferred Portfolio exceed its GHG Emissions Benchmark for 2030, the LSE must explain the difference and describe additional measures it would take over the following 1 - 3 years to close the gap, along with the cost of those measures.

**GHG Planning Price** – The GHG Planning Price is equivalent to the marginal cost of GHG abatement associated with the 42 MMT Scenario for the years 2018 to 2026 (i.e., a curve that slopes upward from ~$15/ton to ~$23/ton), followed by a straight-line increase from ~$23/ton in 2026 to $150/ton in 2030, as shown in Table A. Each LSE must use either the GHG Planning Price or GHG Emissions Benchmark in developing its Conforming Portfolio.

**IRP Planning Horizon** – The IRP Planning Horizon will typically cover 20 years. However, for the purposes of this IRP 2017-18 cycle, the IRP Planning Horizon will cover only up to the year 2030.

**Long term** – 10 or more years (unless otherwise specified)

**Portfolio** – A portfolio is a set of supply and/or demand resources with certain attributes that together serve a particular level of load.

**Preferred Portfolio** – Among all the portfolios developed by the LSE, the LSE will identify one as the most suitable to its own needs, deemed its “Preferred Portfolio.” Any deviations from the Conforming Portfolio must be justified and explained.

**Reference System Plan** – The Reference System Plan refers to the Commission-approved integrated resource plan that includes an optimal portfolio (Reference System Portfolio) of future resources for serving load in the CAISO balancing authority area and meeting multiple state goals, including meeting GHG reduction and reliability targets at least cost.
Reference System Portfolio – The Reference System Plan refers to the Commission-approved portfolio that is responsive to statutory requirements per Pub. Util. Code 454.51; it is part of the Reference System Plan.

Scenario – A scenario is a portfolio together with a set of assumptions about future conditions.

Short term – 1 to 3 years (unless otherwise specified)

Standard LSE Plan – A Standard LSE Plan is the type of integrated resource plan that an LSE is required to file if its assigned load forecast is \( \geq 700 \text{ GWh} \) in any of the first five years of the IRP planning horizon.

Standard LSE Plan Template – Each LSE required to file a Standard LSE Plan must use the Standard LSE Plan Template according to the instructions provided herein.
RESOLUTION 2018-____

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE VALLEY CLEAN ENERGY ALLIANCE
APPROVING THE VCEA INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN AND ASSOCIATED ACTION PLAN
FOR SUBMISSION TO THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

WHEREAS, Valley Clean Energy Alliance (“VCEA”), is a public agency formed in January 2017 under the provisions of the Joint Exercise of Powers Act of the State of California, Government Code Section 6500 et. seq., between the County of Yolo and the City of Davis to provide Community Choice Energy (“CCE”) programs within the member agencies, and in June 2017, the City of Woodland also joined VCEA adding to the overall VCEA service territory;

WHEREAS, in accordance with state Senate Bill (SB) 350 (2015, DeLeón), as well as modifications to those sections added by SB 338 (2016, Skinner) and Assembly Bill (AB) 759 (2017, Dahle) to implement Public Utilities Code Sections 454.51 and 454.52, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) has enacted rulemakings requiring load servicing entities in the state over which the CPUC exercises regulatory authority to file Integrated Resource Plans (IRP) by August 1, 2018;

WHEREAS, the IRP must be approved by the VCEA Board prior to submission to the CPUC, including the adoption of a “Preferred Portfolio” to indicate which of the alternative resource scenarios contained in the IRP is preferred by the VCEA Board;

WHEREAS, the IRP process calls for an update every two years, which means VCEA will have regular opportunities to adjust its plan; and,

WHEREAS, in addition to the development of various possible renewable and clean portfolios, and the required selection of a preferred portfolio, the IRP report must also identify VCEA’s Action Plan for how it intends to achieve the objectives of the Preferred Portfolio.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Directors of the Valley Clean Energy Alliance resolves as follows:

1. Approve the Integrated Resource Plan in substantially the form attached, which includes the “Cleaner Base” portfolio as the Preferred Portfolio and the associated Action Plan identified therein, for submission to the California Public Utilities Commission; and,

2. Authorize staff to make any non-substantial changes necessary to finalize the IRP document for filing.
PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Valley Clean Energy Alliance, held on the ____ day of __________, 2018, by the following vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:

Lucas Frerichs, Board Chair

ATTEST: _______________________________
Alisa M. Lembke, Board Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____________________________
Interim General Counsel

EXHIBIT A – Integrated Resource Plan
EXHIBIT A

INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN